

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,514	07/30/2003	Bret R. Shoberg	P-11234.00	7898
<sup>27581</sup> MEDTRONIC,	7590 04/23/2007 INC		EXAMINER	
710 MEDTRO	NIC PARK	KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

12	

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/630,514	SHOBERG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael Kahelin	3762	

Defere the Eiling of an Annual Priof						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Kahelin	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  In the state of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee noter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		50.0,,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-43 and 57</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	it before as an the data of filing a N	lation of Annual will be	at he entered			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidar	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration.	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance			
because: See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	~ ()				
13. Other:	GEC	RGE R. EVANISKO	)			
MR TH 4/8/07	PRI	MARY EXAMINER				
4/8/07		Y/1917	•			

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation "individual conductor lumen for each of the plurality of conductors" requires further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments drawn to the new limitations will not be considered because the limitations will not be entered. In regards to the argument that Mower (US 5,476,497) fails to disclose a conductor outer diameter greater than the minor axis of the elliptical tube, please see the cited table. Although typical valued for the various dimensions are provided, Mower also discloses an embodiment in the "Range" column wherein the minor axis length (as little as 1 mm) is less than the conductor diameter (as large as 2 mm).